G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 6-19

1920.

Serial Nos. 293, 356, 358, 368, 376

(P. P.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. List

Part B for August 1920.

SUBJECT.

Report on the audit of Food Supply Accounts.

---

293) From The Comptroller. No. 7-135-8 (S).

Reports the irregularities found out by Asst. Comptroller in auditing the Food Supply accounts at Kolar.

376) To The Rev. Comm. No. G. 27690-4 D/18

Observes that the explanation is not satisfactory and requires to be severely warned.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

97

NOTES.

File No. 82-19

FILE HEADING.

Report on the Audit of Food Supply Accounts

From

The Comptroller

No. 7135. Dated 16.3.20 Received 16.3.20

Diary No. 3020	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 2293.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

forwards a report on the irregularities noticed in course audit of Food Supply Accounts of Kolar Taluk enclosing an anonymous petition received by the Asst Comptr containing acknowledgment for a sum of Rs 18 received by the ~~Shekdar~~ Sheristedar Kolar cost of a bag of Rangoon rice sold to the Shekdar of Vakkaleri Hobli.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The irregularities noticed are:-

1) Omission by the Sheristedar Kolar to show separately in the accounts the issue of rice and the credit of its cost (Rs 18) which sum has been acknowledged to have been received.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. S2 6 of 191 ?.

Serial No.

2) Against Rs 305-13-0 realised by retail sale of 17 bags of whole rice in the Dk depot. Rs 303-13-0 only have been credited to account. or Rs 2 short

Subd
Doctor
16.3.

The matter connected with the sum of Rs 18 requires further investigation in the administrative office. May be done as directed.

16/3

2718/16/3 Please

18/
18/3/20

Jmk
20.3.20

Checked)

18/3/20

Draft calling for a report in the matter put up for approval

Mer cides

Yes
22/3/20

For perusal please

Chief Secr)

24/3

Issue

18/
26/3

Mar 13

294 To

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

PC File No. 6 of 1919.

Serial No.	
	No G22436 dt 30-3- 0
	From copy 7 SL 293 and requests that a detailed report may be furnished to Govt in the matter together with his opinion
	Draft received put up
	hg 18/5/20

CHIEF SECRETARIAT. POLITICAL BRANCH. 30 MAR. 1920

Issued 19/5

356	From the Dy Comr Kolar No O C 13018 S. 20. Submits the explanation of the Tahsildar Kolar in the irregularities pointed out by the Comptroller. The enclosures sent have not been returned. A reminder
	Draft put up hg 20/5/20

To the Dy Comr Kolar

No G 26464 dt 25.2.

With refce to Sl 356, requests him to return the enclosures refd to in Sl 294.

1

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P File No. 6 of 1919

Serial No. 368

From the D.S Coma - Kolar

no RoC 1301 & 29/3/20.

Returns the enclosures as

desired -

The file may be sent

to the D.C. for favour of

remarks - Head

Clg

3/6/20

For the action than that recommended by the D.C. in his letter of 17th May 1920 does not appear to be called for in the case. The amount involved is small and beyond a suspicion that is no proof of the D.C. thinks there could have been no dishonest transaction in respect of it

Chief Sec.)

I agree.

Dewan)

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUN 7 1920
Reg. No. 8027

6/6

7/6

The explanation of the Sheristedar is not quite convincing. He may be severely warned.

6.6.20

12/6

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

90064

R. P. File No. 6 of 1919

Serial No.

Disagreed

Hg 16/6/20

Imc 16/6

376 To

The Revl Commissioner

" Food Controller

" Dy Commissioner, Kola Dist.

" Comptroller to Govt

" Secy to Govt, Financial Deptt.

No G.276-90-94 Dy. 18-6-20.

Agrees ~~the~~ with the ~~ext~~ Deputy Commr's letter xref from SC-368, that the explanation of the Sheristedar is not quite convincing, but as recommended by the D.C. they direct that the Sheristedar may be severely warned for this irregularity.



F. 7. S. 2-19-5.

CONFIDENTIAL.

(6)

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No. 7.135 ಆ8-3-20

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 26-3 1920.

QUERY No. 3020 / 16260

19/20 0000 6-9-20 293

T. Satyaraj Chetty, Esq., B.A.,
M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Offg. Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

M. N. Krishna Rao, ESQ., B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial *Department*

SIR,

I have the honour to report for the informati f Government under Article 24 of the Mysore Account Code Volume I in the course of audit of the Food Supply accounts of the Kol aluk Depot

4 the Local audit staff an anonymous petition enclos an acknow-

ledgment for a sum of Rs. ~~15/-~~ 12 8/- received by the Sheriste Kolar Taluk being the cost of a bag of Rangoon rice sold to the S dar of Vak- kaleri Hobli for his own use was received by the Assi t Comptrolle in charge of these accounts. Neither the issue of th ce nor the receipt of the money is traceable in the Food Supply unts. The explanation furnished by the Sheristedar in the cours audit that the issue the rice and the credit of its cost were tted to be shown sepa tely in the accounts as it formed part of days retail sales in Taluk Depot. But this explanation is no nvincing as several is les of similar quantities are shown separa in the ac- counts. is learnt that the amount has since been dited in the accounts remitted to the Treasury after the Assis Comptroller left Kola ..e., on the 5th Instant.

The matter has been brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner also. The irregularity noticed was rendered possible in this case by the omission on the part of the Sheristedar to use the printed book of counterfoil receipts for the purpose.

as also the registered cover in which they were received

Copies of the anonymous petition and the re eipt are herewith submitted for the information of Gove nment

Another irregularity brought to notice is at against Rs.305-13-0 realised by retail sale of 17 bags f whole rice in the Taluk Depot on the morning of the 13th eptember 19, Rs.303-13-0 only have been credited to account r Rs.2/- short.

I have the honor o be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

T. Satyadev Chetty

Comptroller.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.

Section DD
File No. 6-9
Serial No. 294

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *191*

Date of issue..... 30/5

Signed by..... W. Bh

To The Ds Com
Kohat

In forwarding here
with a copy of letter No
7135 G/15 dt. March
1920 from the Commandant
Lt Col with its enclosure
in original regarding con-
tract arrangements on
the part of the DK Shr

GPB—50,000—17-3-15

SECRETARIAT
POLITICAL BRANCH.
19 MAY 1920

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To 31313

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated the 17th May 1920.

Despatched

Received 19 MAY 1920

General No. OC 1301 9/19.20.

No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Subject

Submitting the explanation of the Taluk Sheristedar, Kolar, in connection with the irregularities mentioned in Comptroller's No. 135 dated 15.3.20.

P 31/1
12-5-20
3-30

As regards the second incident the Cheristedar committed an irregularity in making of the sale proceeds for purchasing a lock and in not entering this transaction in the registers at the time. This irregularity has also to be pointed out to him and he may be warned for the same.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District
Kolar.

Through the Tahsildar
Kolar Taluk

Respected Sir

I beg to submit the following for your kind Consideration.

About the middle of August last one Shaikdar of Vokkaleri Abdul Khud... came to me one day to the Rice-Depot and a bag of rice for his own use, for some emergency in his house. As shaikdar and Sub-Depot keeper to whom hundreds of rice-bags were generally issued for sale to the Public in his taluk, I agreed to give him the bag and asked for its price of Rs 18/- He said he would Conveniently send it away in a cart, that had Come from his village, that he had no money on hand but would send it in a day or two to me. I gave him the rice-bag and included it in the days sales and I also paid its cost of Rs 18/- from my own pocket into the day's collections. As this bag was given to the Shaikdar for his own personal use it was not entered in the office ledger under the Vokkaleri Shaikdar's name and the Shaikdar also has not kept account for this bag in his accts.

amount of Rs 18/- in recompense of what had paid from my hands for the bag previously sold to the chairman.

In the course of audit-price accounts by the assistant Comptroller which was finished on the 1st inst, he received by registered post this receipt for Rs 18/- sent to him by my adverse party in Kolar. The assistt Comptroller asked me whether the amount shown in the receipt had been credited to accounts. I said yes. He told me that there was no separate entry in the Register to show its remittance on the date of its receipt, and in order to clear away the doubt the amount of 18Rs should again be remitted. I said it was hard on me to pay the amount twice, and explained to him the circumstances under which such a thing happened.

In this connection I may be kindly permitted to submit that the late food clerks Krishnappa and Krishnamurti who were responsible for several irregularities in the food-supply transactions, and are now under suspension, have been conspiring and openly telling many persons that they would try by every means to bring discredit on me, because I came against them in all their ways and spoke against them often to the previous auditor and also to the Deputy Commissioner in connection with the rice-theft in Bindiganavile Chattram Kolar in November last. As this theft case has now been taken up against Krishnamurti

and as Kreshnappa is still continued under
suspension, both these officials with some others
have been actively conspiring against me
and some higher officers by sending up anony-
mous petitions containing false and scan-
-dalous allegations. As they were intimately
with the Sanitary Inspector and Workshop
Chairdar they seem to have taken advantage
of this receipt for Rs 18/- with a view to bring me
into trouble. One Madhava Rao, the brother of
Krishnamurthi referred to above, who is the Head
clerk in Medical Relief Office has come over to Kolar
to help his brother against the impending crimi-
(rice-theft case). It is talked of that attempts
are being made by them to tamper with the
witnesses in the rice theft case. They are usually
seen moving about together about Kolar every
day almost. These persons are I am sure respon-
-sible for this mischief, production of this receipt
for Rs 18/- and of causing doubts. As this is an
important matter and as these men are likely
to cause further mischief, I beg to submit that
I have brought all these matters to your kind
notice for such action as may seem needful.

As the assistant Comptroller, in spite of all
my explanations and protestations asked me that
the amt of Rs 18/- must be paid up under any
circumstances I had to obey him by making
the remittance through a second time of Rs 18/-

under protest on 6/3/1920. I beg that this amt of Rs 18/- again remitted now for the 4th time may kindly be ordered to be refunded to me.

I beg to remain,
respectfully Sir,
Your most obedient servant
P. N. Venkat Raghavendra
6/6/20. Zq Chr Kolar

Forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner along with the explanation of the Shirastadar called for vide order No. C.E. No 1301/19-20.

Bilichur
24/6/20.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Letter No.F.137 dated the 15th March 1920, from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Financial Department, Bangalore.

I have the honor to report for the information of Government under Article 24 of the Mysore Account Code Volume I that in the course of audit of the Food supply accounts of the Kolar Taluk Depot by the local audit staff an anonymous petition -- enclosing an acknowledgment for a sum of Rs. 18/-(eighteen only) received by the Sheristedar, Kolar Taluk, being the cost of a bag of Rangoon rice sold to the Sheikdar of Vakkaleri Hobli for his own use was received by the Assistant Comptroller in charge of these accounts. Neither the issue of the rice nor the -- receipt of the money is traceable in the Food supply accounts. The explanation furnished by the Sheristedar in the course of audit is that the issue of the rice and the credit of its cost were omitted to be shown separately in the accounts as it formed part of the day's retail sales in the Taluk Depot. But this explanation is not convincing as several issues of ~~xxxxxx~~ -- similar quantities are shown separately in the accounts. It is learnt that the amount has since been credited in the accounts and remitted to the Treasury after the Assistant Comptroller ~~x~~ left Kolar i.e., on the 5th Instant.

The matter has been brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner also. The irregularity noticed was rendered possible in this case by the omission on the part of the Sheristedar to use the printed book of counterfoil receipt prescribed for the purpose.

Copies of the anonymous petition and the receipt as also the registered cover in which they were received are ~~x~~ herewith submitted for the information of Government.

Another irregularity brought to notice is that a Rs. 305-13-0 realised by retail sale of 17 bags of wh in the Taluk Depot on the morning of the 13th Septem Rs. 303-13-0 only have been credited to account or Rs. 2/- short.

Copy of the above forwarded with compliments to the Commissioner, Kolar District, for information.

Sd/- T.Satyaraj Chetty,
Comptroller.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District,
Dated Kolar, the 19th March 1920.

From:
877.

Copy is forwarded to Mr. Donne, Amildar of Kolar, for submission of the explanation of the Taluk Sheristedar with the connected records regarding both the irregularities noticed within 3 days.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

Gl. 12220

To 80-432
Cor 5.

Sir
24.3.20
In addition to previous report
SS
24.3.20

Submitted to the Deputy Commissioner for kind perusal.

I beg to enclose herewith the explanation of the Taluk Sheristedar regarding the irregularities noticed by the Assistant Comptroller.

I beg to submit that there is some force in the explanation offered and I do think that the Shirastedar could have been misappropriating especially when the Sheristedar was deputed to carry on such heavy transactions. After all, it appears like my a case of suspicion that the amount refund had not been credited for which the Sheristedar has been made to make good the amount, which he has done. He may be kindly warned. The Connected records are enclosing with the Comptroller's

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District
Kolar

Through the Amildar
Kolar

Respected Sir

In obedience to the Deputy Commissioner's memo No: $\frac{1801}{19-20}$ of 19-3-20, calling for my explanation regarding the two items of Rs 18 and Rs 2/- noticed during the recent audit, as irregularities, by the assistant Comptroller, I beg to submit as follows

About the 17th of August last, the Vokkaleri Shaikdar Abdul Khuddus, came to the rice-depot and asked for 1 bag of rice for his own private use. He being a Branch-Depot keeper at Vokkaleri, to whom hundreds of rice bags were, from time to time supplied for credit, for sales to the people in his Hobli to relieve them from distress, I agreed to give him the bag of rice, and asked for its price to be paid up. He said he had no money then, that he would send it to me in a day or two, and that he would find it very Convenient to remove the rice-bag away, as a cart was just then going to his village. I told him that if money was not at once paid up, and the bag not entered as a credit sale in the accounts, the account of stock of bags on hand would become incorrect, and so I undertook to pay for him the cost of the bag Rs 18/- at once, from my pocket, and asked him to send the money away to me very soon. Then I paid the cost of Rs 18/- and included it in the day's Sale-proceeds of Rice. The Shaikdar said that this being a private transaction, he would not show this item of 1 bag in his accounts, and that I need not also enter the same

in the office accounts against his name. A ledger book is maintained in which all supplies of rice made to Shaikdars on credit are entered. And the same ledger book contains all supplies on credit made to this Vellakeri Shaikdar also; but as this extra bag was given to him for his private use, for which money was readily paid by me, I did not enter this sale as a separate item in the registers, but included it in day's sales only. An examination of the stock of the bags, both on previous and subsequent dates, will show that the stock on hand was uniformly correct throughout.

Some issues of similar quantities to other principal village patels and others, are shown separately in the accounts to have a reference of the number of pounies (empty) due from them, for which they did not pay at the time the rice was issued to them, and there is no ledger opened for them, while for the Thekkeri Shaikdar, there is a ledger maintained for all rice supplies to him.

The Sanitary Inspector Ramachandriachetty who is a friend of this Shaikdar paid me Rs.18/- for the Shaikdar, and desired my receipt for the same. As the money was brought by the Sanitary Inspector, I had to give a receipt, but if the Shaikdar had brought the money himself and paid it to me, I would not have given him a receipt, because it was only a return of the money given by me on his behalf.

No receipt books have been supplied to the ice depot; parties desiring receipts always brought a note book with them, in which the receipts were entered for such receipts given on separate papers. It is only since about the beginning of October last that revenue-receipt counterfoil-books are being

used for receipt.

The Assistant Comptroller asked me to explain all these matters and I did explain to him all in detail; he said that it would cause confusion and suspicion in the accounts, and that it was very necessary that the amount must be made good, at least under protest so that if the Deputy Commissioner, should in his generous opinion, find this explanation as true it would be refunded under those circumstances I had to remit the amount, which I did under protest; and I immediately submitted a report to the auditor as to the circumstances under which I had to pay the amount, and requested him to recommend for the refund of the amount after necessary enquiries.

As regards Rs 2/- short remitted out of sale proceeds on the 13th September last I beg to state that a lock was purchased for cash out of the day's sale proceeds for use of the rice-stores, located in different parts of the town; the debit account was left out being entered in the Registers by oversight. The Voucher for Rs 2/- obtained from the Shop-keeper for payment in cash of the price of the lock is herewith submitted for kind perusal. I explained this fact also to the Assistt Comptroller during the audit.

In this Connection I beg to submit that the previous food clerks (1) A. Krishnappa and (2) M. Krishnamurthi who are under suspension for several irregularities connected with the Rice depot, have formed a hostile party in the town; a criminal case also of theft of rice from the Fort rice store, is pending trial against Krishnamurthi who was once food clerk. As I was against their ways always, and complaining about them to the previous auditor who was interested in them, and as I have made statement against them in the pending Cr. case against Krishna

before the Treasury assistant Commissioner, recently. I am bitterly hated by this party and am also being threatened with vengeance. This accused Krishnamurthy's brother one Mathews Rao - an excise clerk in the Madura Dy Office remained in Kolar for one month recently, on leave, to help his accused brother. These men are intimately moving with the said V.K. Valeri Thasildar and the Sanitary Inspector Ramakrishnia Chetty. It is said that attempts are being made by the to tamper with the witnesses in the said Cr: case pending. This hostile party is frequently writing anonymous petitions against me and higher officers in this town, and are likely to set up false records and accounts against me & others. Taking as an Exhibit of the said receipt for Rs 18/- from which they have caused so much doubt and hubbub, they are attempting to bring discredit on me.

I further beg to state that about 4 lakhs of rupees have been collected by me from rice-sales, and about 12000 Rs expenses incurred for cart-hire, freight &c. The cash accounts and vouchers were all examined, and found to be correct during the recent audit by the Comptroller. These 10 months I have worked very hard in the rice-Depot, in addition to my duties as Sheristedar, and have spared no pains to give satisfaction to my superior officers in the rice-Depot works, without any complaint, in spite of the fact that the former amildar was teasing me in many ways and setting up some of those offenders against me and openly saying before many people that he would ruin me. Under such circumstances, I beg to submit, for the kind consideration of Officers, if a person in my position would have ever ventured to act otherwise than honestly, and to risk my long, approved Service of 22½ years. I beg leave to assure the authorities that I have always tried my level-best to give satisfaction in my work by working hard and honestly. As regards my character, a reference may kindly be made to the Opinion of the Officers under whom I had served. namely (1) Mr. P.T. Bowring, (2) Mr. T. Chokkanna (3) Mr. B. Ramaswamaiya (4) Mr. K. matthans (5) Mr. B. Venkoba Rao + (6) Mr. M.Q. Krishnaswami Rao. vice-President, Sanitary Board K.G.F. where in I was once cashier and my transactions of several lakhs of rupees were audited several times and declared to be correct. Praying for kind Consideration, I beg to remain,

Respected Sir, your most obedient Servant. P.N. Venkata Radhavingar
Dy. Shr. Kolar. 0/24 3/10

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... .....

Section DD
File No. 6-19
Serial No. 358

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(

the *191* .

No. G 26464

Date of issue 25/5/20

Signed by Asst. B.T.K

To The Dy Comr
Kelani Dt

Sir,

I am to refer to correspondence ending with your letter no O.C. 13018 17th May 1920 re certain irregularities in the accounts of the Kelani DK depot I am directed to request that the enclosures sent with

WD 02785—GIB— 79,000—25-2-17—S.

1919-20. 32282

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated the 29th May 1920.

Despatched 31

Received 1 JUN 1920

General No. R.O.C.1301/19-20.
No.

Enclosure No. 3

Subject.

Forwarding the copy of Comptroller's Letter No.F.135 dated 15th March 1920.

20054

DIARY NO.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

Dated Kolar, the 29th May 1920.

From

Md. Zahiruddin Mecci, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G.26464/ P.P.6-19-358 dated 25th May 1920, I have the honor to forward herewith the enclosures to your letter No.G.22436/ dated 30th March 1920, as called for therein.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.
(absent from station).

Letter No. F. 135, dated 15th March 1920, from the Comptroller to Government, to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Financial Department.

I have the honour to report for the information of Government under Article 24 of the Mysore Account Code Volume I that in the course of audit of the food supply accounts of the Kolar Taluk Depot by the Local Audit staf an anonymous petition enclosing an acknowledgment for a sum of Rs. 18/- received by the Sheristedar, Kolar Taluk, being the cost of a bag of Rangoon rice sold to the Sheikdar of Vakkaleri Hobli for his own use was received by the Assistant Comptroller in charge of these accounts. Neither the issue of the rice nor the receipt of the money is traceable in the food supply accounts. The explanation furnished by the Sheristedar in the course of audit is that the issue of the rice and the credit as it formed part of the day's retail sales in the Taluk Depot. But this explanation is not convincing as several issues of similar quantities are shown separately in the accounts. It is learnt that the amount has since been credited in the accounts and remitted to the Treasury after the Assistant Comptroller left Kolar i.e., on the 5th instant.

The matter has been brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner also. The irregularity noticed was rendered possible in this case by the ommission on the part of the Sheristedar to use the printed book of counterfoil receipts prescribed for the purpose.

Copies of the anonymous petition and the receipt as also the registered cover in which they were received are herewith submitted for the information of Government.

Another irregularity brought to notice is that agains Rs. 305-13-0 realised by retail sale of 17 bags of whole ri in the Taluk Depot on the morning of the 13th September 191

Dear Sir,

Please take Rs 187- sent herewith due to Jon from Mr. Abdul Khudus, Sheikdar of Vakkaleri Bodi towards rice.

Sdy. D. A. Ramaswamy Selby
Dy. Sanitary Inspector
Kol
23-8-19

Received Rs. with many thanks — no balance.

Sd. P. N. V. R.
Talute Shereshtadar. Kolar.

"Copy

Sd Illegible
Asst Comptroller

12-3-20

G.R.S. VI-

SUBJECT.....

J. Subbaramaiya, esqr

Diary No. of let

Asst. Comptroller (Food Supp
Mysore Government.
Camp. Bangalore
Kolar

Section OO
File No. 619
Serial No. 376

General and

of Mysore.

the

Date of issue 18. 6. 1920

Signed by [illegible]

Read— letter no 7 13.3
March 1920 from
Comptroller (F.S.) ad-
-ing to the rule
[illegible]
certain irregularities
[illegible] of Rs 18 Rs 2
on the part of the Taluk
Shri Kodanda T K [illegible]
Order Thereon—No.
in charge of the Kolar
D K Depot
[illegible] Correspondence
[illegible] letter

r this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a stan ing order ? Noted

iii published in th Gazette, English Par ? Nil

iv translated ?

v placed at the di posal of the press ?

vi published in th Gazette, Kannada P: t ?

No 0.C. 1301 of 17th May 1920
from the Dy Com. Kolar &
forwarding the explanation
of the SK Shr in the matter
and stating that though
the explanation offered
has not been satisfactory,
it is desirable to
say the Shr
has any dis-
-honesty intention in
regard to these sums.

Order — 27670-94.
Gat agree with the Dy Com
that the Explanation of
the Shr is not quite
convincing but as in view
he recommended by the Dy Com
[illegible]
of the circumstances
that he should be severely
warned for this negligence

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB —30,000—

To The Rev Com
The FO Controller
The Dy Com Kolar
The Controller

for the Secy to